



Amandha Vollmer QA with Jeff Green

August, 2021

Topics:

What are Viruses?

Why Call Viruses 'Viruses'?

What is the Evidence That Viruses are Solvents?

mRNA Vaccine Technology

Lab Leak Lie

Fraudulent Testing

...plus other questions answered

Amandha Vollmer:

Have you seen Dr. Stefan Lanka's research? He made "viruses" easily from healthy tissue by starving it and changing the terrain with antibiotics. Viruses are breakdown of diseased tissue and polymorphic end products that are wastes. There is no immune system at all. That was a term made up from the pharmaceutical industry. I am trying to understand how you can claim they exist when they've never been isolated?

Thanks for clarifying.

Jeff Green:

Yes, Stefan Lanka has written multiple times in his writings that he himself has isolated bacteriophages, which are a type of virus—being some of the largest viruses there are. I think people have a lot of confusion about what he actually says. He does not say, at least to my knowledge, that no viruses exist. He has more or less called them what I do, which are chemical constituents of cells that occur during breakdown due to the disease state.

The immune system: You're right, there is no immune system as is classically known. It is made up of 3 main networks (*neck, chest, & groin*) that comprise the lymph system; being made up of between 500-600 lymph nodes, lymph fluid, and the lymph organs therein. Each section of nodes regulates the area of the body wherein they are located. But in actuality, the whole of the body is the 'immune system'.

The body does not build defenses against itself. Knowing this, that disproves so-called immunity, herd immunity, and the immunity supposedly built from vaccination, none of which exist.

I write more about the immune system here:

<https://virusesarenotcontagious.com/what-is-the-immune-system/>

When we eat food, no matter what it may be, that food is converted into a reddish liquid. Some of that liquid is then eventually converted into a milky looking substance which is known as lymph fluid, which feeds the lymphatic system and its processes. This system feeds many of the bodies processes and is vitally important—it also filters out waste products such as those produced from bacteria, cell breakdown, and other processes that incur waste.

The congestion of lymph fluid, and the inability for it to flow freely through the body, results in diseases like cancer, as the body cannot readily eliminate toxic byproducts.

Viruses are merely a type of enzyme, such as those that break down food. There is no doubt that viruses exist, but not as a poison, obviously, but as solvents that dissolve and break down substances when the body is at a point of systemic toxicity. That breakdown is intelligently guided by RNA communication with white blood cells and other immune cells. Other than this factor, viruses are not alive and have no brain functionality, or any other functionality that a living organism would contain. They are therefore well suited to dissolving toxicity because they have no other purposes.

When you starve a tissue or toxify it in a lab situation, cells will produce viruses from cellular breakdowns because they have no access to the full microbiome of the body, such as bacteria, parasites, fungus—all of which break down, feed upon, and regulate toxicity in the body. Therefore, a non-living solvent is produced by cells

to help dilute and break down those toxins. In the circumstances you described of his experiments, that is the only agent that a cell would have access to.

To clarify further:

If you were to inhale toxic fumes, those fumes will cause cellular destruction in the cells of the lungs, brain, nerves, and wherever else they may come into contact with the body. Those toxins many times will cause cells to become degenerated or die entirely. The damage is mostly dependant on the duration you inhale the toxin.

When agents, like bacteria, come in to eat that degenerated tissue, the toxicity which killed the cell will still be present in that dead or dying cell. Now taken up by the bacteria, that toxicity may kill the bacteria that try to feed upon it. Toxicemia builds in the body as a result. To reduce those poisons, cells produce chemicals known as virus.

How can the body eliminate a toxin that its living microbes cannot feed upon without it killing them? Non-living viruses. Viruses are then produced by cells to aid cells in breaking down toxicity.

Our normal microbial cleansing processes are via bacteria, parasites, fungus, phagocyte cells, and so on. Viruses occur whenever toxicity is so toxic that our living microbes cannot feed upon and eliminate toxicity.

If viruses do not exist, something exists that is able to break down that toxicity. In the end, we circle back around to viruses. And obviously, it cannot be a living thing or an extracellular vesicle that transports fluid for life (exosomes), or it would be poisoned to death. And, if we have evidence that the body can create

enzymes that break down food and other substances, then the next logical deduction is that viruses must be real.

They have lied to everyone about the real purpose and function of viruses. The same is true for bacteria. Both are treated as boogeymen to suit their agenda. But without them, we would not be alive. After all, the body is 99% bacteria.

Amandha Vollmer:

Thanks for explaining this. What is the basis in you saying that viruses are solvents? I've seen this mentioned before, but have not found anything to support this claim.

When we say they don't exist I think we mean they don't exist as they are defined, which is contagious and disease causing. It seems to me that there are all sorts of 'things' in the body that have various functions. But I think it is misleading to call any of them 'viruses'.

We have an entire somatid cycle as well, almost completely dismissed by modern "science".

Jeff Green:

I refer to them as viruses because it is a universally known term. The meaning must be redefined.

Solvents: When a cell creates a virus, it embeds the core of the virus with RNA and DNA communication keys and layers it under a protein surfactant coating made of a gel-like water membrane that envelopes the virus (*capsid and coat*). This allows the virus, with the help of white blood cells, to dissolve specific tissue by engulfing the matter in the outer coating and gradually dissolving the matter by disassembling it as an enzyme would

break down food and diluting it with water.

In order for a virus to break down cells, tissue, and their waste, there must be a solvent factor involved. The same is true for other agents in the body that have different roles.

Modern medical science claims a virus can inject its RNA into a cell and replicate in that way. In actuality, it is the exact opposite of what they claim. However, they do admit one truth in their doctrine in this regard: In order to inject their RNA, they must be able to dissolve a portion of the cell wall to inject their genetic material. In this way, they have admitted that viruses can dissolve portions of cells. In actuality, the virus does not inject its RNA to replicate, since a large number of cells are producing virus.

Another proof is that enzymes themselves are solvents. They break down and fractionate substances into more easily used substances by the body. The same is true for virus. Water itself is known as the universal solvent; It can break apart rock and so forth. Likewise, there are solvents in the body that break down substances or are used to form substances in the body.

One of those is saliva, which is also a form of solvent that breaks down food in the mouth. A large percentage of digestion occurs in the mouth from such solvents.

Another is mucus in the sinus cavity, which also has a solvent factor. Other solvents are things such as bile; hydrochloric acid, and ketones, which burn fat.

Amandha Vollmer:

Thank you, it's really a semantics problem we are redefining.

Jeff Green:

I do agree that it is semantics. People shouldn't get too hung up in

terminology though. For instance, the medical profession has called bacteria poisons as well, even though they obviously are not. But we shouldn't stop calling them bacteria either. 'Viruses', to me personally, is a term I use to communicate ideas. If viruses are classically known as a poisons, then we should, as researchers, redefine that terminology. I think that is the best approach.

A computer virus is more of a virus than a bodily virus is. Even though they are both called viruses—their definition is different because of their context.

Amandha Vollmer:

If enough diseased tissue, that is currently running through a solvent/cleaning process, is transferred to another healthy body, would the same symptoms be witnessed by virtue of the debris process? Or, would the individual terrain be dominant? Or, would that depend on the vigour of the individual?

I am thinking of the creation of rabies by Pasteur by injecting diseased tissue from a rabbit into a dog. Or, would there be an immediate pleomorphic change once the tissue had left its own terrain?

Jeff Green:

This particular set of questions requires quite a lengthy answer.

Regarding bacteria:

Bacteria are the exact opposite of viruses in that they are able to consume and excrete. Bacteria are scavengers.

Natural bacteria being transferred from person to person is not in any way a threat in all normal circumstances of life. The only time bacteria really pose a problem is when bacteria, being outside the body,

become mutated—such as on cooked foods. In such an environment, there are no bacterial regulators, and bacteria rapidly devolve into a diseased and degenerated state. Those mutated and diseased bacteria may cause temporary illness in a person because they negatively alter the sensitive bacterial colonies/environment of the gut causing imbalances. However, many times, food poisoning is a result not of bacteria, but of heavily processed foods that contain chemical products that also imbalance the stomach and gut environment.

Regarding viruses and injection of external tissue:

If you were to inject diseased tissue from one person into your own body, it would be analyzed as foreign debris. That tissue contains completely different RNA than your own cells, and is therefore incompatible and will never be able to be utilized by your body.

There must be a purpose and reason for its presence in the body.

There are many complex pieces that all come together when the body produces a virus—it is a lock-and-key system. It is therefore impossible to inject a viral tissue from someone else and cause the same disease in the injectee. It is entirely unpredictable what reaction the person will have.

If you inject the blood from someone who suffered from hepatitis into your own body, that viral tissue will act as an antigen to the innate immune response and can cause a whole host of problems—not because it is a viral tissue per se, but because it is a foreign animal tissue that has no purpose in the body.

With regard to pleomorphism: Bacteria are largely pleomorphic

because they are alive and can alter themselves according to the tissue in which they reside. Being alive, they have instinctive survival needs.

Bacteria are able to morph themselves to a certain degree which allows them to minutely change their abilities to better flourish in a particular environment/tissue of the body.

Bacteria are very much like the caterpillar in that they morph within their respective species, but do not change species entirely, nor do they evolve into entirely different species—they merely move over a step to become more compatible with the tissue they are in. Like a caterpillar that will morph into a butterfly, bacteria morph into different states. Once the bacteria leaves the body, that bacteria changes its properties drastically. We must also understand that the body contains many regulators that keep bacteria, both good and 'bad' in check; the microbiome.

However, when it comes to viruses, it is a different story.

Viruses, prior to being produced, undergo what I call '*Viral Pleomorphism*'. Blueprints for creating proteins are contained in the genome of the cells of the body. The genome manufactures 'blueprints' that instruct cells on what type of protein to manufacture, and how. When a cell encounters a toxin that it cannot readily eliminate, it must produce a specific solvent enzyme in order to break it down.

Viral proteins (virus) are mutated by the cell; first by the genome, then produced by the cell—viruses cannot mutate themselves like bacteria, since viruses are not living organisms and cannot act.

If you have a virus being produced in the cells of the lungs, perhaps by the epithelial cells, those cells may need to produce viruses of varying magnitudes to dissolve substances as it encounters them. If the cell encounters a toxin that the cell does not readily recognize, it will produce a solvent enzyme (virus) to help the cell break down that toxicity.

There may be many toxins of many various types that have engulfed the body in that particular area—many of which the body doesn't know how to eliminate; they are manmade toxins.

Many times, a cell must manufacture different variants for itself to dissolve the many different toxins it encounters. This is why mutations occur. Mutations are necessary to carry out proper toxin dissolution.

Since viruses are not living microbes, they contain no survival instincts, brain functionality, digestive capabilities, or regeneration/healing functions, nor are they able to fly, swim, or sense. They are static agents produced by cells that must be guided by a third party—in this case, white blood corpuscles.

Viruses mainly float within the blood and fluids of the body after being released by cells. In times of severe toxicity, there may be millions upon millions of viruses floating in the body, having been produced by many cells. They may accidentally bump up against a compatible tissue containing the same RNA as the cell, but more often, they must be guided by white blood cells via RNA communication keys that allow them to dissolve specific tissue.

If this were not the case, the body would die of systemic toxicity and viral overload would occur. Therefore, viruses are creations by living cells, and their processes are guided by intelligence.

Concerning rabies: In some of my past newsletters and writings, I show that rabies is not a real virus, but is the result of an animal digesting its own stomach due to extreme nutritional deficiencies. Frothing, a type of foam, occurs as a result; that foam is the stomach lining being broken down and then regurgitated.

In 'Rabies Past Present in Scientific Review'—by Millicent Morden (Physician & Surgeon)/Part of the book 'The Poisoned Needle' by Eleanor Mcbean - 1957, it is stated:

"There are over 3,000 deaths on record in reports from the Pasteur Institute, of persons bitten by dogs. All died after treatments. On the other hand, the record of the London Hospital, a few years ago, showed 2,668 persons bitten by angry dogs: not one of them developed hydrophobia and not one had been treated by the Pasteur method."

Many times, vaccines cause the disease they purport to prevent. At the same time, vaccines cause many unrelated diseases. The reason for this is due to the way manmade tissues are spliced/designed in labs. Those tissues, when injected, cause the body to produce immune responses that cause damage to the organism.

Tissues, acting as antigens, are bound with adjuvants that provoke the immune response, intending to irritate the immune response into artificially elevated levels to coax out the immune response the designer intended. Those levels cause a whole host of problems, including anaphylaxis, toxemia, and death.

Amandha Vollmer:

How would your body know which virus would attack what tissue and why not any of the other hundred thousand viruses? Or why are there not multiple viruses infecting one tissue type simultaneously, especially if said tissue is already vulnerable if already infected with one virus?

Why don't we see people with multiple viral infections and that spread of symptoms?

Jeff Green:

The virus, in almost all cases, never 'attacks' healthy tissue. The only circumstances this would occur is when metal is embedded in areas such as the spinal column—such as in polio. In this case, it is unavoidable that viruses dissolve healthy tissue in order to engulf and remove metallic toxicity embedded within the tissue. It is an illusion that viruses are attacking the tissue.

The body never attacks itself. There is a reason for everything occurring in the body, and it is always a way for the body to mitigate or short-circuit damage being caused by toxicity.

The cells in a particular area intelligently produce viruses if they are needed. There are usually only two strains of a virus being utilized at any given time. The reason for this is because, once again, the body must regulate its processes. Any more, and the situation would become out of control and unregulated.

Amandha Vollmer:

Why don't we catch cancers or hepatitis from others since they claim these diseases are viral caused? What makes one virus airborne and contagious but another not?

Jeff Green:

In the 1950s, it was commonly thought that cancers were 'catching on' like wildfire, and many thought of them as contagious. This is a prime example of the illusion of disease and of nature itself. Disease by its very nature is prone to illusion; hard to pinpoint, and complex. Therefore, disease can be confusing and the unknown breeds mystery. In this way, disease can be exploited by those in power and used against man.

Since disease, including viruses, follows this pattern of illusion, it is, therefore, easy to convince someone that something is contagious. But in fact, there is no such thing as contagious disease. Only ideas are contagious.

Humans are self-contained biochemical beings, and their disease begins from within—not from without. Disease has many complex causes, and it was the doctrine of modern medicine that made the life of doctors easier. No longer was disease complex and hard to determine, but was caused by viruses and bacteria. The Germ Theory arose. Therefore, nature itself took the blame, and man was no longer responsible for his or her own actions. Instead, the medical practitioner was now the savior.

As I have spoken about in my videos, the Germ Theory was evolved from the socialist ideal. It is of Marxist origin and intends to separate mankind from natural creation; the purpose of which is not the basis of this QA, but is something I have been answering in my writings and videos.

Amandha Vollmer:

Wouldn't more of a likely pandemic be a superbug bacterial strain by now than some sort of mutating virus?

Wouldn't that be more likely to be what causes a deadly pandemic given how much antibiotic use is abused, why aren't we seeing this?

Jeff Green:

You would think so, since bacteria would fit more into this scheme as living, breathing organisms that have intent to survive. But bacteria do not provide the necessary framework for those in power to use as agents of destruction. The history of viruses has been made up for the past century as a deadly and contagious attacker that is non-living and dangerous.

To answer the last part of your question, the reason we do not see this is because bacteria, like viruses, are not contagious. As stated, bacteria are scavengers. They are earth's cleansers. Without them, the earth's atmosphere/air would quickly devolve into a toxic state, and there would be no living organism able to live.

When an animal dies, worms and maggots appear to break down and consume that matter, thereby cleaning it up. It is not the worm that caused the death, but whatever killed that animal that is responsible for the death. In our case, the cause is toxicity. The bacteria is there to clean the body, as are parasites and fungus.

With regard to antibiotics:

When antibiotics are used in the body, bacteria will mutate to short-circuit being killed. They will morph, as I wrote about in my past answer. Those bacteria will quickly return to normal when the antibiotic is ceased. Therefore, bacteria become mutated in the body as a means to carry out their processes. The body has many ways to short-circuit damage. It can rewire brain signal paths when damage occurs in the brain, for instance.

Amandha Vollmer:

Where is the historical evidence that viruses, pre-vaccine era, wiped out large portions of humanity, actually threatening humanity itself?

Jeff Green:

Plagues and widespread deaths were always caused by man's own actions or the result of environmental causes. Much of man's past problems are no different than they are now. Most problems throughout history were caused by man's diet in the 'civilized' world.

Most people were peasants and lived in cruel and hard working conditions, and they had very little in the way of proper nutrition, while the rulers were kings and queens who had diets rich in meat and fruits. As such, it is common to observe the eventual detoxification of a population that is unhealthy.

Bacteria and viruses are cyclical in nature because the body itself is cyclical. When the temperature and humidity are just right, the cells and tissues of the body will dump toxicity into the blood for removal. This occurs in many different areas at once, many of those areas unconnected.

Amandha Vollmer:

What is the evidence of a mutating virus when we already know that what they catalogue from country to country are not exactly the same virus coming out of people, yet they call it the same virus.

What are they using to classify as a mechanism of mutation and what are the parameters used to make the determination that a virus has truly changed?

Also, how would they know so quickly why it is more or less deadly

without longterm studies and using Koch's postulates?

Jeff Green:

They wouldn't know at all because it is all theoretical. It exists entirely in a computer and is theorized, and their conclusions coincide in favor of deadly contagion because they are operating under the doctrine of Germ Theory. Anything less would prevent them from recieving grant money.

The only evidence they use is what they observe while utilizing cell lines in a lab environment. To compound problems, those cell lines used are diseased and of little worth in procuring a reliable and accurate result. Manmade tissues are used to study the effects on an organism for the explicit reason of sparking the exact vaccine-induced immune response desired.

Now, in actuality, there is no possible way to determine a variant in the way you describe, since there is no single variant produced by a body, and indeed, every body that manufactures a virus contains an entirely different strain for their own body to utilize. As such, there are endless variations of any type of virus. Further, the variant is of course not transmissible because viruses are not contagious.

This idea that there is some dominant variant that springs up just in time to put a damper on everyone preparing to reopen, should ring alarm bells. This tactic is merely used as justification for further lockdowns in order to continue to implement their totalitarian system.

Amandha Vollmer:

If this virus escaped from a lab in China wouldn't you find the responsible parties, round them up,

send them to the Hague, and try them for crimes against humanity?

Jeff Green:

Absolutely. But this is all window-dressing to guide the people into a particular belief. I have written and spoken about this erroneous claim of a lab leak a number of times. I watched this theory spring forth early on from the conspiracy groups as far back as January of 2020.

As always, those in the main conspiracy groups take the bait and do not think deeper than the surface level. As such, they are easily misled and are attracted to the rumor mill and the drama that follows.

You cannot seek the truth by engaging in wild conspiracy theorizing—many times those theories are planted in such groups to intentionally mislead them away from the truth. Once they are caught in their web, they are delivered the blow, but they cannot recognize the blow at first until it is too late.

Most everything is out in the open and able to be determined, or at the very least, enough for you to determine the truth. We must go on facts and evidence.

The evidence is that there is no SARS-CoV-2 virus. The supposed SARS-CoV-2 virus is itself a fiction, fabricated out of whole cloth, utilizing an already very common cold virus (*coronaviruses*), disguised as real, like many other unnatural viruses have been throughout history, in order to slowly and gradually install a scientific religion and biomedical tyranny over the body of man. In a dual nature, it is as well designed and intended to justify sweeping totalitarian 'laws' the world over.

There are many agendas within the agenda being referred to.

If SARS-CoV-2 does exist, it exists only in the imagination of man, or in lab environments, having been manmade for the purpose of vaccination study. The same is true for viruses such as H1N1, none of which are naturally occurring viruses produced by animal cells.

In order to lend legitimacy to the idea that this is an out of control and contagious, deadly virus, there must be created in the minds of the people an imminent threat. If that threat originates from a communist country, such as China, it lends credibility to this idea.

And do remember, that for 4 years, it was Donald Trump who primed the people to turn against China. Yes, the people should be against much of China, but there are ulterior motives at play. This was done to gradually indoctrinate the population so that they are willing to partake in the idea that this virus escaped a lab in Wuhan. In so doing, it causes the people to believe that the US must create a savior vaccine to prevent the communist country who developed a virus from destroying the West.

It also draws support from the most unlikely of vaccine hesitancy groups: The Republican base.

By doing this, they convince both sides of the aisle that something must be done. Favor for vaccination reaches an all-time high in the majority of the population once again.

Those in power realized that in order to reduce population, there must be a greater favor of vaccination going forward. In 2019, during the so-called measles epidemic, it became clear to those in power that vaccine favor was at

an all-time low, and peoples' faith in vaccination was beginning to ebb.

Something must take place in order to garner a love for vaccination once again. In comes the COVID pandemic one year later after the failed measles 'epidemic'.

I wrote articles in 2019 about this topic which can be found on my website: [Blog/Articles by Jeff Green – Viruses Are Not Contagious](#)

The technology behind mRNA was already in development long before the 2020 'pandemic'. It was being studied not only in Wuhan but in many other labs all over the world through federal government grants given out by the US government. Therefore, it stands to reason that there must first be an imminent threat in order to utilize and test this new technology on the populations. Thus, the Wuhan virus was formulated.

The media would gradually build up the storyline as time progressed.

Western media have time and time again pointed to China as the perfect example of those who "did it right", by tyrannically locking down their population. That is exactly the purpose and intent of creating a threat from abroad; out of sight, out of mind. The US population cannot see exactly what is going on, so mystery runs rampant. As such, those in power can convince the populations that we should follow in the footsteps of communist China. And remember, Communism/Marxism is the system by which world government will operate.

The heart of the technology that is behind mRNA is the explicit alteration of human DNA through utilizing fragments of diseased manmade

proteins, which act as the antigen and are taken up and engulfed by cells. Many refer to this as a 'spike protein' created by cells, but this term is being misused.

When those cells eventually die, the vaccine toxin is still inside the cell and is taken up by other cells. The toxin causes the cell to undergo epigenetic changes when it is dividing into other cells. In this way, a fragment of the DNA sequence is left out when future cells divide—not directly by the toxin, but indirectly by the cell itself.

This is the main difference in this new technology as opposed to older technology. In other words, it elicits a much more specific outcome.

To make these changes, designers are utilizing particular chemical substances and combinations of chemicals which are bound with the antigen (spike) that intend to make the antigen more successful. This allows the toxins to be taken up more readily by cells. Antigens cause immune cells to become alerted, and thus target them for removal.

It seems awfully coincidental that this so-called virus contains every pet peeve agenda of world government pushers. It should now be abundantly clear that if people do not wake up at this very moment, they will be up the proverbial creek without a paddle and it will be too late to reverse the tides.

In the end, they can alter the DNA of an organism to change its behavior and vitality in the long term. By introducing booster shots every 6 months, you introduce ever-increasing toxicity into the population, gradually building it up over a period of years, resulting in the gradual decline of health and death—this is the designed

purpose. It is a form of population reduction and control.

Amandha Vollmer:

Before the invention of the PCR test what was the gold standard test for a virus and what was its sensitivity and specificity?

Jeff Green:

The PCR test is fraudulent on its face, as are most all tests. You cannot test for viral replication accurately. It is an impossible test because you are testing an amalgam of bodily fluid which can contain all manner of genetic material. PCR testing is replete with false positives.

At the same time, they are testing for a virus that doesn't exist in the first place. Even if the virus was real, it would be very difficult to test for accurately.

While you can find genetic material leftover from viral replication that says "Yes, you have this type of genetic material, and you may have genetic material shared with this particular virus...", this does not show the amount or rate of replication taking place in cells, nor does it explain that many different illnesses share the same genetic information/antibodies. And remember, that viruses are always at work in the body even in very small amounts—they are an intrinsic part of the body and assist cells in breaking down toxins.

Think of the implications there.

This means that many millions of people will be testing positive for coronaviruses, which there are many different forms. These tests simply cannot differentiate the minute differences between the strains/variants because they are designed in that way;

or, you could say the limitations of the technology allow for fraud.

Likewise, before PCR, there were antibody tests, which were also wholly inaccurate. Many of the same antibodies are shared among different illnesses, which leads to inaccurate results.

Furthermore, antibodies do not create immunity. **There is no such thing as immunity**—it doesn't exist. There are merely immune cells, but the immune system as I stated prior, is the whole of the body. The same person can develop the same type of viral strain multiple times throughout his or her life; flu, for example.

This idea that we can prevent the body from detoxifying forever by creating so-called 'immunity', is hogwash.

That is why booster shots are needed. Without booster shots to suppress the body's detoxification system, you will have serious ramifications in the eventual future as the entire vaccinated population begins to dump toxins. This will occur once the body is able to focus its energy on detoxification, renewal, and healing after it has been away from insults from vaccination.

However, this time, detoxification will come on strong and severe, whereas if you would have allowed detoxifications to occur naturally, the body would have no reason for extreme modes of cleansing, such as high levels of viral replication.

Regardless, eventual detoxification will occur no matter how many boosters a person receives. The body can only hold back the dam of toxicity for so long. Boosters merely delay the inevitable by suppressing the body and building further toxicity.

That is all for this QA. Thank you to Amandha for asking these important questions. I hope to do more in the future.

For contact information:

Amandha Vollmer:

<https://yumnaturals.store/contact/>

Or

amandha@yumnaturals.net

Jeff Green:

<https://virusesarenotcontagious.com/>