

(This is a follow-up on the Q&A with Amandha regarding viruses)

**Amandha Vollmer**

*Degree in Naturopathic Medicine*

**I have been having discussions in my Terrain Theory group and most of the participants do not like using the word virus at all. The main reason being is there is no scientific evidence of true isolation. Many of them feel using the word virus divides the movement and causes confusion.**

**Please let me know your thoughts on this and thank you!**

**With care,  
Amandha**

---

## Isolation Defined

---

**Author: Jeff Green**

*Alternative Nutrition, Researcher*

The term 'isolation' is being misused a great deal. First, some background. Cell cultures containing cells are stressed to produce their own solvents (*virus*). This occurs because the cell itself has no microbiome available to it as it would in the natural setting of the living human body. Cells in these static environments are devoid of regulatory agents, such as phagocytes, immune cells, bacterium, parasites, and so forth. Researchers believe they observe viruses infecting cells, but it is the exact opposite.

diagnosed with an unusually aggressive form of cervical cancer in 1951. The young woman's name was Henrietta Lacks.<sup>1</sup>

After their discovery, scientists were able to directly perform tests on human tissue outside the body for the first time. However, as stated, this mode of research is wholly inaccurate in terms of real-world effects; real-world effects as would occur in the human body, being in the presence of the full microbiome and all the constituents therein.

In these situations, researchers may observe that cells, such as HeLa cells, will more easily produce solvent enzymes (*virus*) from cell breakdown in artificial petri-dish environments. Almost all research in the so-called field of virology is utilizing these synthetic cell lines. As such, their research is highly flawed from the beginning and cannot be trusted. What first appears to be true, when examined with close scrutiny, becomes an error of man... or, is it deception?

In order to truly observe tissue in its full and unmolested state, you must be able to develop and use technology that peers into the human body without damage. This does not exist at present.

You must be able to view the tissue in the living state inside the body itself. If you were to observe a portion of tissue outside the body, it will assuredly, in most cases, lead to false conclusions as well. A few things one must take into account is that when tissue is damaged, even by a small cut or abrasion to the flesh, the cells in the area become agitated and hyperactive—this, from cellular damage itself, or, in order to resolve the insult.

























