

# Commentary on Isolation

Written by Jeff Green

Researcher/Alternative Nutrition

**There has been much continued confusion on the term "Isolation" and the idea that has been put forth that viruses are somehow not real entities, in part, due to the misunderstood term "fully" isolated. Most of this seems like genuine error, while some appear to me to be manufactured confusion. Some have questioned my stance on isolation without truly understanding what I am conveying in my message on it. My stance has never wavered: I believe strongly that viruses are real entities, and that isolation should not and can not be the ultimate judge on whether the entities we call viruses are real or mere flights of fancy.**

**I have also stated strongly that viruses and extracellular vesicles are different entities in nature, and that indeed, the latter cannot replace the former. Some have taken umbrage to my ideas on this subject and have claimed I am indirectly calling those who claim such things, liars. Some have unsuccessfully tried to counter my statements by claiming other popular researchers in the alternative sphere have proven that viruses do not exist since they have never been fully isolated. Throughout all of this confusion and chasing of one's tail, I have found that it distracts us from the main focus we should all maintain: *That vaccines must be avoided if humanity is to go into the future healthfully.***

**What you will read below are excerpts I have compiled on my thoughts on the matter.**

What I have always maintained is that there are many types of viruses—up to 320,000 known to living animals. And, that those viruses are a natural and innate part of any living creature, including plants, arising as cellular survival mechanisms; communicated and being intelligently created by cells to dissolve their own toxicity and that of other dead and dying cells unable to produce their own solvents. Each virus occurs in the living nucleated cells of each area of the body. The liver produces hepatitis liver virus of which there are up to 5 known types, and likely more than this, as each virus is minutely different depending on the needs of the tissue. It does so in the disease state to help reverse a toxic liver condition. The stomach, heart, lung, and spine cells, and so on, all produce viruses, among many other areas. For instance, poliomyelitis virus occurs usually every 150 years in the spinal cord of a population to help dissolve metallic toxicity from our environment (natural or manmade), which is passed on from generation to generation until the spinal cord becomes too toxic that it must detoxify. We may know this through observing the rate at which it arises in a population. Records indicate this rate. As well, we must also understand that the body and all therein are cyclical in nature.

I have always maintained that viruses are non-living solvents, and that indeed, the body must produce solvents of this nature to be able to survive, and that without them, we would not be here. As I have stated: cells, bacteria, parasites, and fungi are not able to remove overtly toxic and hard to break down materials that are mainly man-made. They simply cannot do so because it would poison them to death. If those toxins poisoned our bodies to that degree, killing all our janitorial helpers, we would surely die from systemic toxicity. Thus, the virus is produced by intelligent living cells utilizing water to fractionate specific matter, doing so at the behest of white blood cells; being guided via RNA and DNA communication keys.

Having said all of this, it matters not one iota if the mostly fraudulent medical profession is lying about the isolation of any virus, at least insofar as them existing or not.

***As I have also stated:*** We must determine viral detoxifications based upon symptoms. By having a ready understanding of the biological processes of the body, we can easily determine if something is viral in nature. Again, with polio, when the spine degenerates to the point of disintegration from toxicity and viruses attempting to get at and dissolve tissue that is embedded with unutilizable metals—one should automatically know that bacteria, parasites, and cells are unable to carry out such feats of dissolution. Therefore, we should know that some other agent is working on behalf of the body. We must also conclude that it must be non-living, for the body produces non-living agents readily, and only something enzymatic could do such a thing. Thus, we can easily deduce that some enzymatic solvent factor is at work. We shall call these viruses. Unfortunately, you are contending with a medical profession intent on misleading people into believing their body is imperfectly designed to attack itself and to fear nature. So, they propound that viruses are the attackers when it is the exact opposite.

Viruses do not and can not cause disease, nor are they contagious. They are the result of the disease state and are specific to each individual body. Specific toxins can elicit cells to manufacture specific viruses to dissolve it. At the same time, they are not merely cell particles from breakdown and are produced by stable, and still somewhat stable cells. When a cell breaks down entirely, it does not have the ability to synthesize and produce proteins like viruses. The cell, after rupturing to release the viral particles, heals its lysis rupture. When the conflict resolution phase is complete, the body is strengthened and is physically felt in the person's body. This indicates detoxification took place, thus strengthening the bodily system. If these were simply cell breakdowns, none of this would occur—systemic toxicity would occur, and living microorganisms would die in the process, leading to death.

Finally, when you take a living cell and place it in an artificial and mostly toxic environment outside the body, that cell will inevitably produce cellular solvents called viruses. Since that cell does not have the microbiome of the body available to it, it has no other cleansing agents at its disposal. Those viruses will be excreted by the cell as a survival mechanism to cleanse itself. At the same time, observing a diseased cell produce these breakdowns will also appear the same. This is merely a part, and one cannot easily or

readily observe the inner mechanisms of this entire process that the virus and its cells take thereafter in the human body.

The reason I have addressed isolation and felt it worth discussing is because there are so many I have personally encountered, whether through emails I have received all over the world, or from comments I have read, that seem to conclude viruses do not exist because most have not met the requirements of being 'fully' isolated—which usually means fully, as in 100%. Or, that it has not fulfilled Koch's postulates, which is that it must be shown to cause disease; many of them not knowing that Koch's postulates was designed solely for bacteria and that Koch's postulates is not used for viruses unless it is a modified form of those postulates. This is another area that is misunderstood by many people.

My larger point has been that isolation is not the be-all end all to whether something like a virus exists, and that it is an error to claim it is.

What these scientists are looking at is the result of cell breakdowns that happen in all cellular life when outside of the body, or exposed to some level of toxin—be that metal salts, artificial serums, and fluids, and so forth. A cell, being outside its natural habitat, will starve. Because of their doctrine of Germ Theory which they adhere to, they do not question the validity of what they are seeing—only that they are seeing it in the presence of cell breakdown, therefore it must be responsible for it. Herein lies the deception, and possibly a high degree of misunderstanding. The act of merely seeing something in the same vicinity and automatically claiming it causes disease is entirely incorrect. And that is what they are doing, more or less. I do believe Lanka has expressed this as well. The point of contention is that these are not merely cellular breakdowns with no purpose inside the body. There is intelligence there.

***To illustrate how results can be skewed by researchers — Has the supposed 'SARS-CoV-2' virus been isolated and shown to exist?***

Virologists add specific cell lines to a petri-dish environment that allows faster results. The viral/diseased tissue suspected to contain viruses is added to the petri-dish solution. Afterward, they believe they observe that the cells are being broken down and killed by viruses. I am stating this would occur without adding anything to the cell culture. I am stating that it is the exact opposite and that viruses are being produced by cells as a cleansing mechanism due to their static singular environment during natural breakdown. They then take that mixture, centrifuge it, thus isolating the virus into a singular form (in accordance with their documentation). Whether this is successful or not is not my point.

There are papers showing isolation of 'SARS-CoV-2' – here is one (*good luck trying to understand it all*) -

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7036342/pdf/jkms-35-e84.pdf>

**The conclusion of the study states:**

“In summary, we isolated SARS-CoV-2 using Vero cells from the first laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2-infected patient in Korea. Phylogenetic analyses of the whole genome sequences showed that it clustered with other SARS-CoV-2 reported from Wuhan, China.” “In order to observe virus particles, Vero cell monolayer showing the cytopathic effects was fixed as previously described. It was cut on ultramicrotome (RMC MT-XL; RMC Boeckeler, Tucson, AZ, USA) at 65 nm. Ultrathin sections were stained with saturated 4% uranyl acetate and 1% lead citrate before examination with a transmission electron microscope (JEM-1400; JEOL USA Inc., Peabody, MA, USA) at 80 kV. Spherical particles with crown-like spikes ranging 66 to 81 nm in diameter were observed within the cytoplasmic vesicles and in the extracellular space adjacent to cell membrane.”

Lead citrate is a compound of lead and citrate that is primarily used as an enhancer for heavy metal staining in electron microscopy. What effects might adding these toxic chemicals with lead do to an organic substance like a virus or cell? It will skew observation further and degenerate life and organic substances.

**Lead citrate is...**

“Harmful by inhalation and if swallowed. Dangerous for the environment. Very toxic to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment.”

Source:[msds\\_2006315\\_tmp48637.pdf \(tnstate.edu\)](https://www.tnstate.edu/~msds/2006315_tmp48637.pdf)

**What about uranyl acetate?**

“...It is very toxic if ingested, inhaled as dust or by skin contact if skin is cut or abraded. The toxicity is due to the combined effect of chemical toxicity and mild radioactivity and there is a danger of cumulative effects from long term exposure.”

Source:[use-and-disposal-of-uranyl-acetate-in-the-electron-microscope-laboratory-glow-in-the-dark-or-walk-in-the-park.pdf \(cambridge.org\)](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms/https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007122617000000)

Surely, these substances would affect observation. Secondly, this is a study from Korea, and likely by Chinese there. The communist government in China would not allow any unbiased study showing the non-infectiousness of any viral particle, neither would our state-controlled medical industry here in the US. Those studies by Chinese counterparts were funded by our own government.

Ultimately, isolation is not the be-all, end-all, that some believe it is. It can be manipulated like anything else—but that doesn't mean that it is not possible or that viruses do not exist.

**I have been asked more than once about Stefan Lanka's recent experiment utilizing Vero cells** that shows the results of the so-called cytopathic effect (CPE) of viruses, and yet, it was shown that it was in fact the chemical substances used in the cell culture that caused

cellular degeneration. Viruses are not pathogenic, as I have stated numerous times. But there must be further clarity brought to this part.

The Vero cells would not be attacked by any viral tissue per se. That diseased tissue will only act as waste debris—as any other toxin would—not as some naturally occurring virus. There must be a cohesive act by a whole body. For instance, if you take the whole body into account, the virus will be guided via RNA by white blood cells after having been created by cells. In a petri-dish environment, none of this will occur. If you add a toxin into the cellular environment, that toxin will likely degenerate the cellular fluid and the tissues of the cell causing its eventual death. As this decay occurs, that cell may begin to produce its own solvent protein (called virus). This protein will be excreted by the cell to clean itself and its surroundings, but it will be unregulated and may dissolve the entire cell. Compound that with toxic serums, and the cell will surely die. Also, without some communication system between other cells, the virus will stay static and not be able to move around. White blood cells guide the virus through the fluid of the body utilizing Zeta Potential (static charge).

**Experiment:** Place your finger in water with dust floating on top and observe how your finger will repel the dust as you move it forward. This is the same principle working in the body with regard to viruses and other agents.

Further, cells can not really exist in singular forms for very long, that is, they must have more than one cell to survive and be kept stable. They can only survive on their own outside the body for so long. In those environments, there is no excretory system available to the cell. Thus, toxins have nowhere to go even if dissolved by the viral solvent. There are no organs, no sweat, bowel, kidney, liver, respiratory system, or white blood cells, or any other regulating and cleansing cells available to take that matter and expel it away from the cell and out of the body.

Scientists take already diseased cells from unnatural origin, such as 'immortal' HeLa cells, which are cancerous, or Vero cells extracted many decades ago from diseased animals. They place them in fertile petri dish solutions with toxic solutions to keep them alive. Because of the state of those cells, they elicit quicker reactions and quicker results for researchers. They take that dish and 'incubate' it for up to 72 hours until ripe. They then observe that the cells have degenerated and dissolved, thus, the viral tissue placed along with the cell is confirmed as the culprit. In reality, since they are not actively seeing the virus do these things itself, they are assuming that the virus is causing the infection, and therefore the cell death. In reality, the virus is unregulated and is dissolving the cell and breaking it down further by cleansing it. That's what a virus does naturally by itself. When you have toxic tissue, that tissue must be dissolved to maintain cell stability. If the entire cell is toxic, the virus may dissolve the entire cell. In the human body, this rarely ever happens. If so, viruses would dissolve every healthy cell in your body and you'd die every time you develop a virus.

They take the contents of the dish and it is then filtered using a filter the size of 0.22  $\mu\text{m}$ . Anything smaller than this passes through the filter along with the virus, so there is leeway for a small amount of contamination. It is then placed in a tube by carefully hand layering

each part utilizing a syringe—laying each part of the mixture on top of the other in a liquid base (density gradient). That tube is then placed into a centrifuge and spun at an optimized and exact rate needed to separate each object into sediment layers called bands. The middle band will usually contain the viral particle due to their weight and density. The band is extracted by inserting a pipette needle into the side of the tube at each level of the band which contains the mixture they want to extract. This is deemed an isolate. So, indeed, the isolate may contain viral particles, and in fact, be isolated. But this does not prove its infectiousness.

**Also worth pointing out** is that researchers can splice tissues to create a man-made viral tissue that will act as a toxin and cause cells to produce a certain type of protein to cleanse. They are not attacking and hijacking cells, but merely acting as specific toxins to those cells. These viruses can be concocted, sequenced, isolated, and made up out of thin air and called real and infectious agents of natural origin. And this is exactly why I am speaking about the deceptive possibilities available to these people in bringing about a fraudulent 'pandemic' for their own gain.

If someone supplied the researchers with a SARS-CoV-2 sample (as they did), and they did all of the above, it would be deemed as a real virus and they wouldn't know the difference. So, you'd have all of academia claiming it was a real virus when it's not. As has been stated by others in congress: 80,000 animals were tested for this exact supposed virus and it was never found. So, I can confidently say, that while there are many viruses that the body can produce, SARS-CoV-2 is not one of them, and the only way to get such a virus into the body would be via injection (vaccination). Respiratory viruses, like normal coronaviruses, occur naturally by cells during duress of a toxic respiratory system—but not the specific viral strain known as SARS-CoV-2. They make this one sound special and unique. It does not exist, but if it **did** exist, it would be no different than any other coronavirus to date.

There is simply too much circumstantial evidence to show that it is not a naturally occurring virus in animal cells. There are too many coincidences surrounding it.

## **In conclusion**

We must use our due diligence in determining if something is true and real by utilizing different methods (symptoms of detoxification, viral isolation outside of the CDC and other agencies that have biases), and not merely one method, such as isolation and adherence to a modified form of Koch's postulates—unless we are able to isolate that virus and prove to ourselves it is there without a doubt, with no bias or any funding from the federal government to skew results. Otherwise, I do not trust these people to tell me what is real or not. We are in the age of deception after all, and what can not be seen can be made to appear real, even if it is not. Health is complex, and the causes of disease are many. We should not try to determine the truth without first understanding that many in the field of science are themselves misguided because of their adherence to Germ Theory, and the lens with which they view the world through. At the same time, we must not forget the deception at work and the ultimate goal of Germ Theory, which is to bring about a totalitarian world order, rendering the power of the human mind and body weak.